**Syllabus of an educational component of a degree programme**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Name of unit conducting a component | ***Doctoral School of Social Sciences*** |
| Name of an educational component | **Interdisciplinary Seminar** |
| Language of education | English |
| Goals of education | Broadening knowledge about:   1. philosophy of science, ethics, philosophy of language (explanation, interpretation, understanding) 2. types of descriptive and normative argumentation 3. legal implications of globalization. |
| Learning outcomes of an educational component | **Knowledge**   1. knowledge about types of explanation, understanding, and interpretation (as different forms of intellectual activities); 2. knowledge about differences between descriptive and normative types of arguments in epistemology and ethics; 3. knowledge about international law in the globalization context.   **Skills**   1. ability to conceptualize main problems related to methods of explanation, understanding, and interpretation; 2. ability to distinguish between descriptive (is, sein) and normative (ought, sollen) arguments (methodolological skills) 3. ability to distinguish between international public law and other normative orders in times of globalization. |
| Verification methods and assessment criteria of learning outcomes obtained by students | Students are assessed on the basis of participation and activity in class. Assessment criteria are twofold: the quality of discussion during classes as well as the level of participation in the Q&A phases. Students may also be asked to prepare a short essay on the „descriptive vs normative” and „explanatory vs interpretive” problems in their field of academic study.  Student may also be required to read a relevant document and present a case or short presentation related to law and globalization. |
| Type of an educational component (obligatory/optional) | obligatory |
| Year of study | 2nd |
| Semester | 17.12.2021, 16.00-18.13, online (prof. A. Dyrda)  11.01.2022, 13.15-14.45, Gołębia 9, KPMP Library (prof. P. Szwedo)  25.01.2022, 13.15-14.45, Gołębia 9, KPMP Library (prof. P. Szwedo)  8.04.2022, 16.00-18.13 (prof. A. Dyrda)  22.04.2022, 16.00-18.13 (prof. A. Dyrda)  13.05.2022, 16.00-17.30 (prof. A. Dyrda) |
| Name and surname of the coordinator of a component and/or person/s conducting a component | Dr hab. Adam Dyrda, prof. UJ  Dr hab. Piotr Szwedo, prof. UJ |
| Name and surname of person/s conducting an examination or granting credit in the case when this is other person than conducting a component | Dr hab. Adam Dyrda, prof. UJ  Dr hab. Piotr Szwedo, prof. UJ |
| Manner of completion | The assessment of the participation in discussions held in class, presentations and/or the final essay. |
| Preliminary and additional requirements | none |
| Type and number of hours of courses requiring  direct participation of academic staff and students, if in a given component such courses are included | Seminar – 15h |
| Number of ECTS credits assigned to a component | 2 ECTS per year |
| Balance of ECTS credits |  |
| Applied teaching methods | 1. problem solving methods (thought problem lecture, conversation lecture); 2. activating methods (case method, didactic discussion); 3. presentation by the teacher followed by discussion |
| Form and conditions of passing a component, including conditions of allowing to take an examination, as well as form and conditions of passing each type of courses included in a given component | Basic conditions: attendance and active participation in classes, and the positive evaluation of the essay. |
| Content of an educational module (with division into forms of courses completion) | **The educational module comprises of the selected issues from philosophy of science, ethics, and philosophy of language:**  (A) Are there any foundational beliefs? The epistemic relevance of analytic/synthetic and a posteriori/a priori distinction.  (B) How do we test our webs-of-beliefs (theories) in various domains of inquiry? Duhem-Quine thesis and its consequences (eg. epistemic and semantic holism).  (C) What are our ontological commitments in science and ethics? The problem of methodological infirmity of ethics (vis-a-vis science).  (D) What is the difference between what is and what ought to be done? The methodological differences between descriptive and normative beliefs and arguments; the role of value-judgments in theory building, epistemology, and ethics.  **The educational module comprises of the selected issues from international law and globalization, and global administrative law (aka GAL):**   1. What are the characteristics of international public law and international society/community? Is the picture dated? 2. What is the understanding of “global” “administrative” and “law” in the GAL theory? 3. Critical study of selected GAL cases related to *lex sportiva, lex digitalia,* and *lex mercatoria.* |
| List of basic as well as supplementary literature, knowledge of which is required in order to pass a given component | **Basic literature:**  Morton White, *What Is and What Ought to Be Done? An Essay in Ethics and Epistemology,* Oxford: Oxford University Press 1981, 142 pages.  Benedict Kingsbury, Nico Krisch, & Richard B. Stewart, [*The Emergence Of Global Administrative Law*](https://scholarship.law.duke.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1361&context=lcp), Law And Contemporary Problems, Vol. 68:15 |